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1. INTRODUCTION

The ceramic particle-reinforced metal matrix composite (PMMC) has been widely paid great attention to on its high strength. A lot of researches on the mechanical properties of the PMMC have been done. In experimental researches, the effects of particle size and particle volume fraction on the strength have studied. It has been reported that the strength increases with particle volume fraction and it decreases with increase of particle size. The effect of weak bonding or debonded interface on the mechanical properties has been studied by several investigators using simplified models for representing imperfect conditions through traction discontinuities [1, 2]. A majority of the studies have used unit cell models [3, 4], which assume that the material is constituted of periodic repetition of single cells 5-18. Displacement based finite element analyses, with the inclusion-matrix interface represented through traction displacement constitutive models, is used to predict the onset and growth of debonding.

The objective of the present paper was to evaluate the effect of thermo-mechanical loading on the interphase separation in titanium nitride/AA2024 alloy composites. The shape of titanium nitride nanoparticle considered in this work is spherical. The periodic particle distribution was a square array and corresponding representative volume element (RVE) is showed in figure 1.

Abstract: In the present work, the TiN/AA2024 alloy metal matrix composites were subjected to mechanical and thermal loads. The results obtained from the finite element analysis and experimental procedure of TiN/2024 alloy composites reveal the interphase separation from the particle and the matrix.

Keywords: Titanium nitride, AA2024 alloy, RVE model, finite element analysis, interphase separation.

Table 1: Mechanical properties of AA2024 matrix and TiN nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>AA2024</th>
<th>TiN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density, g/cc</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus, GPa</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>251.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of thermal expansion, $10^{-6}/\degree C$</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>9.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat capacity, J/kg/$\degree C$</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity, W/m/$\degree C$</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. MATERIALS METHODS

The matrix material was AA2024 alloy. The reinforcement material was titanium nitride (TiN) nanoparticles of average size 100nm. The mechanical properties of materials used in the present work are given in table 1.

TiN/AA2024 alloy composites were fabricated by the stir casting process and low pressure casting technique with argon gas at 3.0 bar. The composite samples were give solution treatment and cold rolled to the predefined size of tensile specimens. The heat-treated samples were machined to get flat-rectangular specimens (figure 2) for the tensile tests. The tensile specimens were placed in the grips of a Universal Test Machine (UTM) with temperature controlled chamber at a specified grip separation and pulled until failure. The test speed was 2 mm/min. A strain gauge was used to determine elongation. In the current work, a cubical representative volume element (RVE) was implemented to analyze the tensile behavior TiN/AA2024 alloy composites at two (10% and 30%) volume fractions of TiN and at different temperatures. The large strain PLANE183 element was used in the matrix in all the models. In order to model the adhesion between the matrix and the particle, a CONTACT 172 element was used.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The optical micrograph as shown in figure 3 reveals random distribution of TiN particles in AA2024 alloy matrix. Agglomeration of TiN particles is also revealed in the microstructures.

3.1 Thermo-Mechanical Behavior

Figure 4a shows the normalized elastic modulus of TiN/AA2024 composites at different temperatures. The elastic modulus is normalized with the elastic modulus of AA2024 alloy. When the temperature is increased from 30°C to 300°C, the normalized elastic modulus is decreased. Under thermo-mechanical loading, the stiffness of 30% TiN/AA2024 alloy composites is lower than that of 10% TiN/AA2024 alloy composites because of the difference in thermal properties of TiN and AA2024 alloy. The normalized stiffness along the normal direction is lower than that along the load direction owing to tensile loading considera-
The normalized shear modulus is unchanged with increase of temperature as shown in figure 4b. For the increase of temperature from 100°C to 300°C, the increase of major Poisson’s ratio (figure 4c) indicates the elongation along the load is greater than that along the transverse direction of loading of RVE.

**Figure 4:** Effect of temperature on micromechanical properties of TiN/AA2024 composites.

**Figure 5:** Criterion for interfacial debonding (a) and for particle fracture (b).

### 3.2 Fracture Behavior

If the particle deforms in an elastic manner (according to Hooke’s law) then,

\[ \tau = \frac{n \sigma_p}{2} \tag{1} \]

where \( \sigma_p \) is the particle stress. For the interfacial debonding/yielding to occur, the interfacial shear stress reaches its shear strength:

\[ \tau = \tau_{\text{max}} \tag{2} \]

For particle/matrix interfacial debonding can occur if the following condition is satisfied:

\[ \tau_{\text{max}} < \frac{n \sigma_p}{2} \tag{3} \]

It is observed from figure 5a that the interphase debonding occurs between TiN nanoparticle and AA2024 alloy matrix as the condition in Eq.(3) is satisfied. The normal displacement field (figure 6) across the interphase increases with increase of temperature. This confirms the increase of interphase separation from TiN particle and AA2024 alloy matrix with increase of temperature. Further, the normal and tangential tractions (figure 7) along the interphase increase with increase of temperature to take place the interphase separation from TiN particle and AA2024 alloy matrix.

If particle fracture occurs when the stress in the particle reaches its ultimate tensile strength, \( \sigma_{\text{p,uts}} \), then setting the boundary condition at

\[ \sigma_p = \sigma_{\text{p,uts}} \tag{4} \]

The relationship between the strength of the particle and the interfacial shear stress is such that if

\[ \sigma_{\text{p,uts}} < \frac{2\tau}{n} \tag{5} \]

Then the particle will fracture. From the figure 5b, it is observed that the TiN nanoparticle was not fractured as the condition in Eq. (5) is not satisfied.
Figure 6: Normal displacement across the interphase between TiN particle and AA2024 alloy matrix.

Figure 7: Normal and tangential tractions along the interphase.

Figure 8: Images of von Mises stresses obtained from FEA: (a) 10%TiN/AA2024 alloy and (b) 30%TiN/AA2024 alloy composites.
The von Mises stress as a function of temperature is illustrated in figure 8. The von Mises stresses induced at the interface are higher than that induced in the nanoparticle. Hence, the interphase separation has occurred between the particle and the matrix. The particle fracture was not occurred in TiN/AA2024 alloy composites as the stress induced in the TiN particle does not exceed its allowable stress due to thermal shock. The scanning electron micrograph (figure 9) of 30%TiN/AA2024 alloy composite confirms the absence of particle fracture.

Figure 9: SEM illustrating the interphase separation.

4. CONCLUSION
The microstructure of TiN/AA2024 alloy composites reveals random uniform distribution of TiN nanoparticles in AA2024 alloy. The shear stress is high at the interface resulting to interphase separation from the particle and the matrix. The interphase separation has occurred between the particle and the matrix.
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